UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/629,380  | 07/29/2003                        | Kirk Edward Vandezande | 101384-22           | 6539             |
|   | 7590 06/30/200<br>AUGHLIN & MARCU | EXAMINER               |                     |                  |
| 875 THIRD AVE<br>18TH FLOOR<br>NEW YORK, NY 10022 |                                   |                        | ZHOU, SHUBO         |                  |
|   |                                   |                        | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                                   |                        | 1631                |                  |
|   |                                   |                        |                     |                  |
|   |                                   |                        | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                                   |                        | 06/30/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)            |  |
|-----------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/629,380      | VANDEZANDE, KIRK EDWARD |  |
|                 |                         |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit                |  |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the co   | ver sheet with the correspondence address  |
|--|--|
| THE REPLY FILED <u>13 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN   | CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The periods:   | amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request                      |
| <ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final</li> </ul>   | Lrejection   |
| b) The period for reply expires  | or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In DNTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.             |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the perhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statute set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL | corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee bry period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on 13 June 2008. A brief in compliance date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and  |  |
| <ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for ap appeal; and/or</li> </ul>  | peal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding NOTE: <u>see continuation sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attach  | •  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  | ou reade of their compliant, unonament (1 1 of of 1).  |
| 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if subn   | nitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the   |
| non-allowable claim(s).  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 4-14</u> .<br>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>19-21</u> .  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient rea<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Ap entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> re showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not expressed.   | jections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT plase continuation sheet.   | ace the application in condition for allowance because:  |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB/08) F</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | Paper No(s)  |
|  |  |
| Prima  | o(Joe)Zhou/<br>ry Examiner<br>nit: 1631  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

## Continuation of 3(a) and NOTE:

The claims are substantially amended in the amendment. For example, claim 1 is amended to recite new limitation including "optimal genetic test order for diagnosing mutations," "generating a data set by identifying known unique genetic mutations that relate to the disease and the frequency with which each mutation occurs in the population," "identifying assays required to diagnose each of the mutations that relate to the disease," "maintaining the data set to include new data received on the mutations that relate to the disease," etc. Additionally, new claim 22 includes limitations, e.g. the strategy expected cost formula, that have not been considered albeit present in the new claims added in the previous reponse for reasons set forth in the previous Office action. All these limitations substantially change the scope of the claimed invention and require further consideration and/or search.

## Continuation of 11:

Since applicant's argument is in large part based on the proposed amendment, and since the amendment has not been entered for reasons set forth above, the rejections stand for the same reasons set forth in the previous Office action.